

Informal Joint Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee



St Edmundsbury
BOROUGH COUNCIL

Title of Report:	West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register Quarterly Monitoring Report – June 2016	
Report No:	PAS/SE/16/014	
Report to and date/s:	Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee	27 July 2016
Portfolio holder:	Ian Houlder Portfolio Holder for Resources and Performance Tel: 01284 810074 Email: ian.holder@stedsbc.gov.uk	
Lead officer:	Joanne Howlett Service Manager - Finance and Performance Tel: 01284 757264 Email: joanne.howlett@westsuffolk.gov.uk	
Purpose of report:	To review the West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register Quarterly Monitoring Report.	
Recommendation:	Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee: It is <u>RECOMMENDED</u> that Members scrutinise the updated West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register at Appendix 1 and refer any major issues requiring attention to Cabinet.	
Key Decision: <i>(Check the appropriate box and delete all those that do not apply.)</i>	<i>Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition?</i> Yes, it is a Key Decision - <input type="checkbox"/> No, it is not a Key Decision - <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Consultation:	• Not Applicable	
Alternative option(s):	• Not Applicable	

Implications:			
Are there any financial implications? <i>If yes, please give details</i>		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There are no direct financial or budget implications arising from this report. Specific risks associated with finance and resources are included in the West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register at Appendix 1. 	
Are there any staffing implications? <i>If yes, please give details</i>		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	
Are there any ICT implications? <i>If yes, please give details</i>		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	
Are there any legal and/or policy implications? <i>If yes, please give details</i>		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	
Are there any equality implications? <i>If yes, please give details</i>		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	
Risk/opportunity assessment:		<i>(potential hazards or opportunities affecting corporate, service or project objectives)</i>	
Risk area	Inherent level of risk (before controls)	Controls	Residual risk (after controls)
See individual assessments against each risk as detailed in Appendix 1.			
Ward(s) affected:		All Wards	
Background papers: <i>(all background papers are to be published on the website and a link included)</i>		None	
Documents attached:		Appendix 1 - West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register 2016/2017	

1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation

1.1 Key Issues and Summary

- 1.1.1 The West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register is updated regularly by the Risk Management Group. The Group is comprised of service representatives, including Health and Safety, supported by a Director and the Portfolio Holder for Resources, Governance and Performance. Heads of Service may be required to provide further information as requested by the Group.
- 1.1.2 At its most recent assessment in June 2016, the Group reviewed the Target Risk, the risk level where the Council aims to be, and agreed a Current Risk assessment. These assessments form the revised West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register at **Appendix 1**.
- 1.1.3 Part of this assessment included the consideration of the controls and actions in place to address the individual risks. Where Target Risk levels are lower than the Current Risk assessment, further action is either being taken or planned in order to treat the risk and meet the target.
- 1.1.4 Some individual controls or actions have been updated and those that were not ongoing and had been completed by June 2016 have been removed from the register.

1.2 New or Amended Risks

- 1.2.1 Following consideration by the group, it was felt that the Probability rating allocated to the Residual Risk of WS7, Poor Project Management, be increase from 2 to 3.

1.3 Closed Risks

- 1.3.1 No existing risks have been closed since the Strategic Risk Register was last reported to this committee.

1.4 EU Referendum

- 1.4.1 Although at the time of writing this report the full impact of the decision to leave the EU is not known, minor amendments have been made to some of the existing actions and controls to address immediate concerns. However, the group will continue to monitor the situation as it develops over the next quarter, amending existing and / or adding new risks where necessary. These changes will be reported at the next meeting in the normal manner.

1.5 Reason for Recommendation

- 1.5.1 The Council's Strategic Plan for 2013/2014, adopted by the Council in February 2014, includes three key priority areas supported by a range of actions to deliver specific outcomes.
- 1.5.2 The West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register identifies and records the level of risk associated with delivering the Council's plans alongside meeting its statutory responsibilities and the organisation's overall ability to respond to change.

Through assessment of risk and the likelihood and impact of potential failure to meet these challenges, the level of controls and where possible, action required is identified and implemented.